2017 Proposed Budget

Division: Council

Department: Wood Buffalo Recovery Committee and Task Force

Team Lead: Dana Woodworth



Recovery Committee

Wood Buffalo Recovery Committee Mandate:

- Consult and work with: Municipal business units, private and NFP sectors, other levels of government and government agencies, and other stakeholders including affected residents
- Select and execute measures to ensure that the Municipality and its residents will be well established on a path to recovery from the devastating 2016 wildfire in the shortest time practicable, having regard to the magnitude of the task

Recovery Committee is tasked with:

- Gathering relevant information within a reasonable time on all aspects and ramifications of the situation faced by severely impacted neighbourhoods and subdivisions
- Establishing and leading a process and thorough consultation with affected property owners and other community stakeholders to understand their needs
- Considering policy and legislative options for rebuilding, re-developing or re-inhabiting
- Making recommendations to Council resulting from this process

Recovery Task Force

Operations at a Glance

Major Initiatives for 2017

- Provide recommendations to the committee for steering and priority setting
- Oversee the implementation of regional recovery operations
- Communicate and engage residents regarding recovery activities
- Design and use an overarching Recovery Campaign Plan
- Provide executive accountability for the development and implementation of the recovery plan including the budget, long term organizational structure, and long-term recovery plan

Operations at a Glance

Recovery Task Force Summary:

Integration

- Recovery Task Force works collaboratively with other Municipal Departments and groups.
- The entire Municipality is involved in recovery efforts.
 - Some groups are heavily involved, e.g. Engineering, Economic Development,
 Environmental Services, Land Administration, to name a few.
 - These and other groups will have recovery related costs arising from joint and separate efforts.
 - Some portion of costs incurred by other groups will likely be DRP eligible costs.
- Therefore, the Municipality's recovery related costs will be greater than the amount budgeted within the Recovery Task Force.

Operating Budget

Department Operations at a Glance

Resources Required:

- Gross expense \$55.7M.
 - Operating Budget Request \$16.8M.
 - Cash Flow Request \$38.9M.

Department Operations at a Glance

Resources Required:

Operating Budget Request \$16.8M.

- Net increase \$5.8M from the 2016 Approved Operating Budget.
 - Increased Revenue GOA DRP submissions \$12.8M
 - Increased full year Salaries, Wages and Benefits (\$2.4M)
 - Increased full year and increased activities Contracted and General Services – (\$16.1M)
 - Increased full year Materials, Goods, and Supplies (\$0.1M)

Operating Budget

| | 2016 Amended Operating Budget | 2016 Operating Projection* | 2017 Proposed Operating Budget | Change 2016 Amended Budget vs 2017 Proposed Budget | | Operating Financial Plan | |
|-------------------|--|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|----|--------------------------------|-------------|
| | Buuget | | Buaget | | | 2018 | 2019 |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | % | \$ | \$ |
| Revenue | 26,053,260 | 26,053,260 | 38,88,6488 | 12,833,228 | 49 | 18,400,000 | 9,200,000 |
| Expenses | 37,089,450 | 37,089,450 | 55,725,293 | 18,635,843 | 50 | 23,000,000 | 11,500,000 |
| Surplus (Deficit) | (11,036,190) | (11,036,190) | (16,838,805) | 5,802,615 | 53 | (4,600,000) | (2,300,000) |

^{*}as at September 30, 2016

Department Operations at a Glance

Resources Required:

- Cash Flow Request \$38.9M. GOA DRP submissions.
- Assume 80% DRP recovery. Conservative estimate.

| | 2016 Amended Operating Budget | 2017 Proposed Operating Budget | GOA (DRP) | RMWB | Change 2016 Amended Budget vs 2017 Proposed Budget | |
|-------------------|--|--------------------------------------|------------|--------------|--|----|
| | \$ | \$ | | | \$ | % |
| Revenue | 26,053,260 | 38,886,488 | 38,886,488 | | 12,833,228 | 49 |
| Expenses | 37,089,450 | 55,725,293 | 38,886,488 | 16,838,805 | 18,635,843 | 50 |
| Surplus (Deficit) | (11,036,190) | (16,838,805) | - | (16,838,805) | 5,802,615 | 53 |

^{*}as at September 30, 2016

Personnel Budget

Personnel Budget

2017 Personnel Cost

| | | | Change 2016 Approved Budget vs 2017 | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|--|
| 2016 Approved Budget (\$) | 2016 Projection* (\$) | 2017 Proposed Budget (\$) | Proposed Bud (\$) | lget (%) | |
| 1,864,450 | 1,864,450 | 4,303,000 | 2,438,550 | 131% | |

^{*}as at September 30, 2016

2017 Number of FTEs

| 2016 Actual FTEs* | 2016 Vacancies* | 2017 Proposed FTEs | Change 2016 Actual FTEs vs 2017 Proposed FTEs | |
|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---|-----|
| (#) | (#) | (#) | (#) | (%) |
| 58 | 21 | 58 | 0 | 0 |

^{*}as at September 30, 2016

Questions