

# AUDIT AND BUDGET COMMITTEE REPORT

Meeting Date May 19, 2015

2015 and Prior Capital Budget Amendments - Revised and Subject:

**New Project** 

**APPROVALS:** 

Kola Oladimeji, Director Elsie Hutton, Executive Director Marcel Ulliac, Chief Administrative Officer

### **Administrative Recommendations:**

- 1. THAT the 2015 and Prior Capital Budget be revised as summarized on Attachment 1 (2015 and Prior Capital Budget Amendments – Revised and New Project), dated May 19, 2015.
- 2. THAT the cash flow of Capital Projects be approved as summarized on Attachment 2 (2015 and Prior Capital Budget Amendment – Revised and New Project – Project Cash Flow Summary), dated May 19, 2015.

# **Summary:**

One existing and one new capital project identified by Administration are hereby submitted for amendment and approval. Since Council is the approving authority for the Capital Budget, subject to provisions of the Council Policy (FIN-160), Fiscal Responsibility Policy, capital budget amendments require Council approval.

This capital budget amendment will result in a total net increase in funding of \$1,800,000 for 2015, as outlined in the Budget Net Change Summary.

All of the amendments were reviewed and recommended by the Capital Projects Steering Committee on April 29, 2015.

# **Background:**

Capital Budget amendments are an ongoing process. Some amendments are identified and presented to Council on an individual basis due to the specific nature and timing of the request. The Capital Budget amendments addressed in this report includes one new capital project and one requiring additional funding due to an administration oversight during the 2015 Capital budget preparation.

### **Budget/Financial Implications:**

The approval of the Capital Budget amendments identified will allow the design of Fort Chipewyan Water Treatment Plant to continue and proceed as scheduled and enable an existing south access off the former Highway 69 to be relocated due to safety concerns. The full budget impact of the amendments of \$1,800,000 included in this report has been incorporated in the

Author: Kola Oladimeji

Department: Financial Services 1/2 Regional Municipality of Wood Buffalo 2015 and Prior Capital Budget Amendment - Revised and New Projects May 19, 2015

Other than first year of a multi year project

Single year project

S/N	Project Description	Туре	Department	Year of original approval	Total Budget	Federal Grants	Provincial Grants	Reserves	Other Sources	Debenture	Att.
	ORIGINAL PROJECT BUDGET										
1	Fort Chipewyan Water Treatment Plant Upgrade - Design	Revised	Engineering	2013	1,100,000	-	-	1,100,000	-	-	4
2	South Leg, Range Road at 83 Highway 69 - Design & Construction	New	Engineering	2015	-	-	-	-	-	-	5
					-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Total Original Capital Project Budget				1,100,000	-	-	1,100,000	-	-	
	REVISED PROJECT BUDGET										
1	Fort Chipewyan Water Treatment Plant Upgrade - Design	Revised	Engineering	2013	2,500,000	-	-	2,500,000	-	-	4
•			F	2015				100.000			_

	REVISED PROJECT BUDGET										1
1	Fort Chipewyan Water Treatment Plant Upgrade - Design	Revised	Engineering	2013	2,500,000	-	ı	2,500,000	=	-	4
2	South Leg, Range Road at 83 Highway 69 - Design & Construction	New	Engineering	2015		-	1	400,000	-	-	5
						-	1	-	-	-	
	Total Revised Capital Project Budget				2,500,000	-	-	2,900,000	-	-	

	NET BUDGET IMPACT										
1	Fort Chipewyan Water Treatment Plant Upgrade - Design	Revised	Engineering	2013	1,400,000	-	1	1,400,000	-	-	4
2	South Leg, Range Road at 83 Highway 69 - Design & Construction	New	Engineering	2015	400,000	-	-	400,000	-	-	5
											] /
	Net Increase/(Decrease) Required on Existing Projects				1,800,000	•	•	1,800,000	-	-	

Regional Municipality of Wood Buffalo 2015 and Prior Capital Budget Amendment - Revised and New Projects Project Cash Flow Summary February 10, 2015

Total Revised Project Budget (b)

Legend:	First year of a multi year project
	Other than first year of a multi year project
	Single year project

						Cash	flow		<u>1                                    </u>
S/N	Duciest Description	Original Approval		Total Budget (Accumulative)	2015 & Prior	2016	2017	Thereafter	A 44
	Project Description ORIGINAL PROJECT BUDGET	Year	Funding Source	(Accumulative)	2015 & Prior	2016	2017	Thereafter	Att
	Fort Chipewyan Water Treatment Plant Upgrade - Design	2013	Reserves	1,100,000	1,100,000	-	-	-	4
2	South Leg, Range Road at 83 Highway 69 - Design & Construction	2015	Reserves	-	=	-	-	-	5
									<u> </u>
	Total Original Capital Project Budget (a)			1,100,000	1,100,000	-	-	-	
	REVISED PROJECT BUDGET								
1	Fort Chipewyan Water Treatment Plant Upgrade - Design	2013	Reserves	2,500,000	2,500,000	-			4
2	South Leg, Range Road at 83 Highway 69 - Design & Construction	2015	Reserves	400,000	400,000		-	-	5

	NET BUDGET IMPACT								
1	Fort Chipewyan Water Treatment Plant Upgrade - Design	2013	Reserves	1,400,000	1,400,000	-	-	-	4
2	South Leg, Range Road at 83 Highway 69 - Design & Construction	2015	Reserves	400,000	400,000	-	-	-	5
									]
	Net Increase/(Decrease) Required on Existing Projects			1,800,000	1,800,000	-	-	-	

2,900,000

2,900,000

Regional Municipality of Wood Buffalo Cash Flows by Funding Sources, by Year May 19, 2015

**Funding Sources** 

	Funding Sources							
	Total Project Cost (Accumulative)	Federal Grants	Provincial Grants	Reserves (CIR)	Other Sources	Debentures		
Original Funding Sources								
2015 and prior	1,100,000	-	-	1,100,000	-	-		
2016	-	-	-	-	-	-		
2017	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Thereafter	-	-	-	-	-	1		
Original Funding Sources Total (a)	1,100,000	-	-	1,100,000	•	-		
Revised Funding Sources								
2015 and prior	2,900,000	-	-	2,900,000	-	-		
2016	-	-	-	-	-	-		
2017	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Thereafter	-	-	-		-	-		
Revised Funding Sources Total (b)	2,900,000	•	-	2,900,000	-	-		
		•						
Revision / Difference (b) - (a)	1,800,000	-	-	1,800,000	-	-		
Net Change by year	1 000 000		1					
2015 and prior	1,800,000	-	-	1,800,000	-	-		
2016	-	-	-	-	-	-		
2017	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Thereafter	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Decenciled not showe	1 200 000			1 200 000				
Reconciled net change	1,800,000	=	-	1,800,000	-	-		

Yes

No

n/a

Yes

No



#### **CAPITAL BUDGET AMENDMENT**

CURRENT PRO	OJECT NAME:	Fort Chipewyan W	/TP Upgrade - Desi	gn		
AMENDED PR	ROJECT NAME:					
		Group I/O	Revenue I/O	Expense I/O	Project A	mendment
ORDER CODE	S (if assigned):	0702013	700468	600831		
CURRENT PRO	OJECT BUDGET					
Year	Annual Cost	Fed Grants	Prov Grants	Reserves	Other Sources	Debenture Financed
2014 & Prior	1,100,000			1,100,000		
2015	1					
2016	-					
2017	-					
Thereafter	-					
TOTAL	1,100,000	-	-	1,100,000	-	-

#### **CURRENT COST AND COMMITMENT**

As at	Current Budget	Actual to Date	Commitments	Available
February 6, 2015	1,100,000	534,753	996,170	(430,923)

#### DESCRIPTION/RATIONALE FOR BUDGET AMENDMENT

This capital budget amendment is for the detailed design of the upgrades required for the Fort Chipewyan Water Treatment Plant (WTP). These upgrades include a raw water pipeline, a new treated water storage reservoir and the existing WTP will be refurbished and expanded. This project was originally approved by Council for \$2.5M during the 2014 capital budget process, as a multi-year project. The budget for 2014 was \$1.1M and 2015 was \$1.4M. As of October 2014 this project had commitments of \$1,500,923. During the 2015 budget process, the continuation of this project was inadvertently missed and the previously approved \$1.4M was not recorded. The construction phase was approved since the desgn was in progress and commitments in place. The design element is necessary to be completed in order to proceed with construction.

#### AMENDED PROJECT BUDGET

Year	Annual Cost	Fed Grants	Prov Grants	Reserves	Other Sources	Debenture Financed
2014 & Prior	1,100,000			1,100,000		
2015	1,400,000			1,400,000		
2016	-					
2017	=					
Thereafter	-					
TOTAL	2,500,000	-	-	2,500,000	-	-

#### **Budget Change**

TOTAL	1,400,000	-	-	1,400,000	-	-

### **FISCAL RESPONSIBLITY POLICY CRITERIA:**

Will the change result in an efficient administrative and project delivery process?

Will the change result in an addition or cancellation of a capital project?

Will the underlying scope change alter the nature and type of capital project?

Where additional funding is required, are the funds from a combination of savings from fully tendered projects, other uncommitted sources such as grants and offsite levies, and cash flow management with other capital projects? Will the change result in Council set debt and debt service limits being exceeded?

In order for this to be a Fiscal Management Policy Amendment the questions above must answer, Yes, No, No, Yes, No, respectively.

	REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF WOOD BUFFALO
--	---------------------------------------

REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF WOOD BUFFALO		CAPITAL BUDGET AMENDMENT							
CURRENT PROJECT NAME: AMENDED PROJECT NAME:		South Leg, Range Road 83 at Highway 69 - Design & Construction							
		Group I/O	Revenue I/O	Expense I/O	New Project				
ORDER CODES (									
CURRENT PROJE		Fod Cumpto	Dunii Canata		Oth on Courses	Dahantura Financa			
Year 2014 & Prior	Annual Cost	Fed Grants	Prov Grants	Reserves	Other Sources	Debenture Finance			
2015									
2016	-								
2017	-								
Thereafter	-								
TOTAL	-	-	-	-		_			
URRENT COST	AND COMMITMEN	IT							
	As at	Current Budget	Actual to Date	Commitments	Available				
		=			=				
mprovements a existing access, for work is not the rundertaken unle	for unsanctioned de responsibility of the ess the existing acce	nd Range Road 83 evelopment to the e developer of Sapi ess is relocated. Re	intersection to acc south of Highway rae Industrial Park location of the acc	east of Fort McMuri commodate the incre 69, must be relocate yet their required in ess, including design e south is possible.	ease in traffic to ed due to safety nprovements can n and construction	the north. An concerns. This nnot be safely on, will cost			
mprovements a existing access, f vork is not the r undertaken unle 6400,000. Cost r 2015.	It the Highway 69 a for unsanctioned do responsibility of the ess the existing acce	nd Range Road 83 evelopment to the e developer of Sapi ess is relocated. Re	intersection to acc south of Highway rae Industrial Park location of the acc	ommodate the incre 69, must be relocate yet their required in ess, including desigr	ease in traffic to ed due to safety nprovements can n and construction	the north. An concerns. This nnot be safely on, will cost			
mprovements a existing access, f vork is not the r indertaken unle e400,000. Cost r 015.	of the Highway 69 a for unsanctioned do responsibility of the ess the existing acce recovery through co	nd Range Road 83 evelopment to the e developer of Sapi ess is relocated. Re	intersection to acc south of Highway rae Industrial Park location of the acc	ommodate the incre 69, must be relocate yet their required in ess, including desigr	ease in traffic to ed due to safety nprovements can n and construction	the north. An concerns. This nnot be safely on, will cost			
mprovements a xisting access, for york is not the rindertaken unler 400,000. Cost rindertaken unler 400,000.	of the Highway 69 a for unsanctioned do responsibility of the ess the existing acces recovery through co	nd Range Road 83 evelopment to the e developer of Sapi ess is relocated. Re ost sharing by futu	intersection to acc south of Highway rae Industrial Park clocation of the acc re developers to th	ommodate the incr 69, must be relocate yet their required in ess, including desigr e south is possible.	ease in traffic to ed due to safety nprovements can and construction Construction to	the north. An concerns. This nnot be safely on, will cost be completed in			
mprovements a xisting access, for is not the rindertaken unle 400,000. Cost rindertaken unle 400,000.	of the Highway 69 a for unsanctioned do responsibility of the ess the existing acces recovery through co	nd Range Road 83 evelopment to the e developer of Sapi ess is relocated. Re ost sharing by futu	intersection to acc south of Highway rae Industrial Park clocation of the acc re developers to th	ommodate the incr 69, must be relocate yet their required in ess, including desigr e south is possible.	ease in traffic to ed due to safety nprovements can and construction Construction to	the north. An concerns. This nnot be safely on, will cost be completed in			
mprovements a xisting access, fork is not the rindertaken unle 400,000. Cost rindertaken unle	of the Highway 69 a for unsanctioned do responsibility of the ess the existing acces recovery through co DJECT BUDGET Annual Cost	nd Range Road 83 evelopment to the e developer of Sapi ess is relocated. Re ost sharing by futu	intersection to acc south of Highway rae Industrial Park clocation of the acc re developers to th	ommodate the incre 69, must be relocate yet their required in ess, including design e south is possible.  Reserves	ease in traffic to ed due to safety nprovements can and construction Construction to	the north. An concerns. This nnot be safely on, will cost be completed in			
mprovements a xisting access, 1 york is not the rindertaken unle 400,000. Cost r 015.  MENDED PRO  Year  2014 & Prior 2015	of the Highway 69 a for unsanctioned do responsibility of the ess the existing acces recovery through co DJECT BUDGET Annual Cost	nd Range Road 83 evelopment to the e developer of Sapi ess is relocated. Re ost sharing by futu	intersection to acc south of Highway rae Industrial Park clocation of the acc re developers to th	ommodate the incre 69, must be relocate yet their required in ess, including design e south is possible.  Reserves	ease in traffic to ed due to safety nprovements can and construction Construction to	the north. An concerns. This nnot be safely on, will cost be completed in			
mprovements a xisting access, for is not the rindertaken unle 400,000. Cost rindertaken unle	of the Highway 69 a for unsanctioned do responsibility of the ess the existing acces recovery through co DJECT BUDGET Annual Cost	nd Range Road 83 evelopment to the e developer of Sapi ess is relocated. Re ost sharing by futu	intersection to acc south of Highway rae Industrial Park clocation of the acc re developers to th	ommodate the incre 69, must be relocate yet their required in ess, including design e south is possible.  Reserves	ease in traffic to ed due to safety nprovements can and construction Construction to	the north. An concerns. This nnot be safely on, will cost be completed in			
mprovements a xisting access, fork is not the rindertaken unle 400,000. Cost rindertaken unle	of the Highway 69 a for unsanctioned do responsibility of the ess the existing acces recovery through co DJECT BUDGET Annual Cost	nd Range Road 83 evelopment to the e developer of Sapi ess is relocated. Re ost sharing by futu	intersection to acc south of Highway rae Industrial Park clocation of the acc re developers to th	ommodate the incre 69, must be relocate yet their required in ess, including design e south is possible.  Reserves	ease in traffic to ed due to safety nprovements can and construction Construction to	the north. An concerns. This nnot be safely on, will cost be completed in			
mprovements a existing access, for york is not the rundertaken unless 4400,000. Cost runds.  AMENDED PRO  Year  2014 & Prior 2015 2016 2017  Thereafter TOTAL	of the Highway 69 a for unsanctioned defor unsanctioned defor unsanctioned deformation of the ess the existing acceptance of the	nd Range Road 83 evelopment to the e developer of Sapi ess is relocated. Re ost sharing by futu	intersection to acc south of Highway rae Industrial Park clocation of the acc re developers to th	ommodate the incre 69, must be relocate yet their required in ess, including design e south is possible.  **Reserves**  400,000	ease in traffic to ed due to safety nprovements can and construction Construction to	the north. An concerns. This nnot be safely on, will cost be completed in			
mprovements a xisting access, fork is not the rindertaken unle 400,000. Cost rindertaken unle 400,000. The reafter TOTAL	of the Highway 69 a for unsanctioned defor unsanctioned defor unsanctioned deformation of the ess the existing acceptance of the	nd Range Road 83 evelopment to the e developer of Sapi ess is relocated. Re ost sharing by futu	intersection to acc south of Highway rae Industrial Park clocation of the acc re developers to th	ommodate the incre 69, must be relocate yet their required in ess, including design e south is possible.  **Reserves**  400,000	ease in traffic to ed due to safety nprovements can and construction Construction to	the north. An concerns. This nnot be safely on, will cost be completed in			
mprovements a xisting access, for is not the rindertaken unled 400,000. Cost rindertaken vegar  2014 & Prior 2015 2016 2017 Thereafter TOTAL  Sudget Change	of the Highway 69 a for unsanctioned do responsibility of the ess the existing accerecovery through control of the ess the existing accerecy through control of the ess through con	nd Range Road 83 evelopment to the e developer of Sapi ess is relocated. Re ost sharing by futu  Fed Grants  -	intersection to accessouth of Highway rae Industrial Park elocation of the accessed developers to the Prov Grants	ommodate the incre 69, must be relocate yet their required in ess, including design e south is possible.  Reserves  400,000	ease in traffic to ed due to safety nprovements can and constructio Construction to  Other Sources	the north. An concerns. This nnot be safely on, will cost be completed in			
mprovements a xisting access, for is not the rindertaken unled 400,000. Cost rinds.  MENDED PRO  Year  2014 & Prior 2015 2016 2017  Thereafter TOTAL  Sudget Change TOTAL	of the Highway 69 a for unsanctioned defor unsanctioned defor unsanctioned deformation of the ess the existing acceptance of the ess the existing acceptance of the est of the existing acceptance of the est of	nd Range Road 83 evelopment to the e developer of Sapiess is relocated. Re est sharing by futu  Fed Grants	intersection to accessouth of Highway rae Industrial Park elocation of the accessed developers to the Prov Grants	ommodate the incre 69, must be relocate yet their required in ess, including design e south is possible.  Reserves  400,000  400,000	ease in traffic to ed due to safety nprovements can and constructio Construction to  Other Sources	Debenture Finance			
mprovements a xisting access, for the rindertaken unler 400,000. Cost rindertaken unler 400,000. Cost rindertaken unler 400,000. The rindertaken unler 400,000. Cost rindertaken unler 400,000. Cost rindertaken unler 400,000. Cost rindertaken versichen versi	of the Highway 69 a for unsanctioned defor unsanctioned desonations of the ess the existing acceptance of the est	nd Range Road 83 evelopment to the e developer of Sapiess is relocated. Re ost sharing by futu  Fed Grants  CY CRITERIA: ministrative and pro	intersection to accessouth of Highway rae Industrial Park elocation of the accessed developers to the Prov Grants	ommodate the incre 69, must be relocate yet their required in ess, including design e south is possible.  Reserves  400,000  400,000	ease in traffic to ed due to safety nprovements can and constructio Construction to  Other Sources	the north. An concerns. This mot be safely on, will cost be completed in  Debenture Finances			
mprovements a xisting access, fork is not the rindertaken unle 400,000. Cost rinds.  MENDED PRO Year  2014 & Prior 2015 2016 2017 Thereafter TOTAL  GUGget Change TOTAL  FISCAL RESPONDING the change reviil the change review of the rinds.	of the Highway 69 a for unsanctioned defor unsanctioned defor unsanctioned deformation of the sess the existing acceptance of the	nd Range Road 83 evelopment to the e developer of Sapiess is relocated. Re ost sharing by futu  Fed Grants  CY CRITERIA: ministrative and procancellation of a cape	intersection to accessouth of Highway rae Industrial Park elocation of the accessed developers to the Prov Grants  Prov Grants	ommodate the incre 69, must be relocate yet their required in ess, including design e south is possible.  Reserves  400,000  400,000	ease in traffic to ed due to safety nprovements can and constructio Construction to  Other Sources	the north. An concerns. This mot be safely on, will cost be completed in  Debenture Finance  -  Yes Yes			
mprovements a xisting access, for the rindertaken unler 400,000. Cost rindertaken unler 400,000. Cost rindertaken unler 400,000. The rindertaken unler 400,000. Cost rindertaken unler 400,000. Cost rindertaken unler 400,000. Cost rindertaken view and view	of the Highway 69 a for unsanctioned defor unsanctioned desonations of the ess the existing acceptance of the est	nd Range Road 83 evelopment to the e developer of Sapiess is relocated. Re ost sharing by futu  Fed Grants  CY CRITERIA: ministrative and procancellation of a cape	intersection to accessouth of Highway rae Industrial Park elocation of the accessed developers to the Prov Grants  Prov Grants	ommodate the incre 69, must be relocate yet their required in ess, including design e south is possible.  Reserves  400,000  400,000	ease in traffic to ed due to safety nprovements can and constructio Construction to  Other Sources	the north. An concerns. This mot be safely on, will cost be completed in  Debenture Finance			
mprovements a existing access, for the respective process of the respe	of the Highway 69 a for unsanctioned defor unsanctioned defor unsanctioned deformation of the sess the existing access the exi	nd Range Road 83 evelopment to the e developer of Sapi ess is relocated. Re ost sharing by futu  Fed Grants  CY CRITERIA: ministrative and pro, cancellation of a cap the nature and type of	intersection to accessory south of Highway rae Industrial Park elocation of the accessory developers to the Prov Grants  Prov Grants	nommodate the incre 69, must be relocate yet their required in ess, including design e south is possible.  Reserves  400,000  400,000	ease in traffic to ed due to safety nprovements can and construction Construction to  Other Sources	the north. An concerns. This mot be safely on, will cost be completed in  Debenture Finances  Yes Yes N/a			
mprovements a existing access, for the respective process of the respe	of the Highway 69 a for unsanctioned defor unsanctioned defor unsanctioned deformation of the sess the existing access the exi	nd Range Road 83 evelopment to the e developer of Sapi ess is relocated. Re ost sharing by futu  Fed Grants  CY CRITERIA: ministrative and pro, cancellation of a cap the nature and type of d offsite levies, and d offsite levies, and	intersection to accessouth of Highway rae Industrial Park elocation of the accessed redevelopers to the Prov Grants  Prov Grants  iject delivery process? Dital project? Of capital project? Combination of saving cash flow manageme	nommodate the incre 69, must be relocate yet their required in ess, including design e south is possible.  Reserves  400,000  400,000	ease in traffic to ed due to safety nprovements can and construction Construction to  Other Sources	the north. An concerns. This mot be safely on, will cost be completed in  Debenture Finances  Yes Yes			

2015 Budget and is presented in Attachments 1-3 to be funded from Capital Infrastructure Reserve.

**Attachment 1** shows the total cost of the existing and new projects recommended for approval. The first section of this attachment presents the original budget for the projects requesting approval; the second section presents the requested budget. The net increase in capital funding required as a result of amending this project is \$1,800,000.

**Attachment 2** shows changes in cash flow of Capital Projects by budget year. Since multi-year projects are pre-approved for future years by Council in order to proceed seamlessly, amendments in cash flows of these projects require pre-budget approval by Council.

**Attachment 3** shows the impact of cash flows and source of funding from this proposed amendment by years 2015 and prior, and 2016 and thereafter, as reflected below in the Budget Net Change Summary.

**Budget Net Change Summary** 

	Total Project Cost (Accumulative)	Federal Grants	Provincial Grants	Reserves	Other Sources	Debenture
2015 & Prior	-	-	-	1,800,000	-	-
2016 & Thereafter	-	-	-	-	-	-
Reconciled net change	-	-	-	1,800,000	-	-

Part of the review process considered the opportunity cost of funding tied to projects that due to changes in strategic direction will not be implemented in the near future, thus releasing such funds to provide funding for current strategic priorities.

# **Rationale for Recommendation(s):**

The rationale for amendment of each capital project is included in the attached individual Capital Budget Amendment Forms as prepared by the individual departments responsible (Attachments 4-5).

# **Attachments:**

- 1-2. 2015 and Prior Capital Budget Amendments Revised and New Project
- 3. Cash Flow by Funding Sources, by Year
- 4-5. 2015 Capital Budget Amendment forms