

Regional Municipality of Wood Buffalo
2015 and Prior Capital Budget Amendment - Revised and New Projects
February 10, 2015

Legend:	First year of a multi year project
	Other than first year of a multi year project
	Single year project

S/N	Project Description	Expense I/O	Type	Department	Year of original approval	Total Project Cost	Federal Grants	Provincial Grants	Reserves	Other Sources	Debenture	Att.
ORIGINAL PROJECT BUDGET												
1	Information Services Infrastructure Upgrades - 2015	New	New Project	Information Services	2015	-	-	-	-	-	-	4
2	Thickwood Heights/ Timberlea - Multi-Use Facility - Design	600592	Name/ Scope Change	Community Services	2012	7,000,000	-	-	7,000,000	-	-	5
Total Original Capital Project Budget						7,000,000	-	-	7,000,000	-	-	

REVISED PROJECT BUDGET												
1	Information Services Infrastructure Upgrades - 2015	New	New Project	Information Services	2015	1,055,000	-	-	1,055,000	-	-	4
2	Northside Recreation Centre - Design	600592	Name/ Scope Change	Community Services	2012	13,500,000	-	-	13,500,000	-	-	5
Total Revised Capital Project Budget						14,555,000	-	-	14,555,000	-	-	

NET BUDGET IMPACT												
1	Information Services Infrastructure Upgrades - 2015	New	New Project	Information Services	2015	1,055,000	-	-	1,055,000	-	-	4
2	Northside Recreation Centre - Design	600592	Name/ Scope Change	Community Services	2012	6,500,000	-	-	6,500,000	-	-	5
Net Increase/(Decrease) Required for amendment						7,555,000	-	-	7,555,000	-	-	


Regional Municipality of Wood Buffalo
2015 and Prior Capital Budget Amendment - Revised and New Projects
Project Cash Flow Summary
February 10, 2015

Legend:	First year of a multi year project
	Other than first year of a multi year project
	Single year project

					Cash flow				
S/N	Project Description	Original Approval Year	Funding Source	Total Budget (Accumulative)	2014 & Prior	2015	2016	Thereafter	Att
ORIGINAL PROJECT BUDGET									
1	Information Services Infrastructure Upgrades - 2015	2015	Reserves	-	-	-	-	-	4
2	Thickwood Heights/ Timberlea - Multi-Use Facility - Design	2012	Reserves	7,000,000	7,000,000	-	-	-	5
Total Original Capital Project Budget (a)				7,000,000	7,000,000	-	-	-	
REVISED PROJECT BUDGET									
1	Information Services Infrastructure Upgrades - 2015	2015	Reserves	1,055,000	-	1,055,000	-		4
2	Northside Recreation Centre - Design	2012	Reserves	13,500,000	7,000,000	6,500,000	-	-	5
Total Revised Project Budget (b)				14,555,000	7,000,000	7,555,000	-	-	
NET BUDGET IMPACT									
1	Information Services Infrastructure Upgrades - 2015	2015	Reserves	1,055,000	-	1,055,000	-	-	4
2	Northside Recreation Centre - Design	2012	Reserves	6,500,000	-	6,500,000	-	-	5
Net Increase/(Decrease) Required for amendment				7,555,000	-	7,555,000	-	-	

**Regional Municipality of Wood Buffalo
Cash Flows by Funding Sources, by Year
February 10, 2015**

	Funding Sources					
	Total Project Cost (Accumulative)	Federal Grants	Provincial Grants	Reserves (CIR)	Other Sources	Debentures
Original Funding Sources						
2014 and prior	7,000,000	-	-	7,000,000	-	-
2015	-	-	-	-	-	-
2016	-	-	-	-	-	-
Thereafter	-	-	-	-	-	-
Original Funding Sources Total (a)	7,000,000	-	-	7,000,000	-	-
Revised Funding Sources						
2014 and prior	7,000,000	-	-	7,000,000	-	-
2015	7,555,000	-	-	7,555,000	-	-
2016	-	-	-	-	-	-
Thereafter	-	-	-	-	-	-
Revised Funding Sources Total (b)	14,555,000	-	-	14,555,000	-	-
Revision / Difference (b) - (a)						
	7,555,000	-	-	7,555,000	-	-
Net Change by year						
2014 and prior	-	-	-	-	-	-
2015	7,555,000	-	-	7,555,000	-	-
2016	-	-	-	-	-	-
Thereafter	-	-	-	-	-	-
Reconciled net change						
	7,555,000	-	-	7,555,000	-	-

	REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF WOOD BUFFALO	CAPITAL BUDGET AMENDMENT				
CURRENT PROJECT NAME: Information Services Infrastructure Upgrades - 2015						
AMENDED PROJECT NAME:						
	Group I/O	Revenue I/O				
	Expense I/O	New Project				
ORDER CODES (if assigned):						
CURRENT PROJECT BUDGET						
Year	Annual Cost	Fed Grants	Prov Grants	Reserves	Other Sources	Debtenture Financed
2014 & Prior	-					
2015	-					
2016	-					
2017	-					
Thereafter	-					
TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	-
CURRENT COST AND COMMITMENT						
As at	Current Budget	Actual to Date	Commitments	Available		
February 2, 2015	-	-	-	-		
DESCRIPTION/RATIONALE FOR BUDGET AMENDMENT						
Develop and implement an overall security program to protect the availability, integrity, and confidentiality of all RMWB data. This includes the development of a comprehensive IT security Framework including policies, standards, and procedures. As well, establish proactive and reactive strategies to identify risks and put controls in place to manage or reduce them. In order to achieve this, server licenses, hardware and software need to be kept up to date. Tools and resources to effectively monitor and control systems need to be put in place.						
AMENDED PROJECT BUDGET						
Year	Annual Cost	Fed Grants	Prov Grants	Reserves	Other Sources	Debtenture Financed
2014 & Prior	-					
2015	1,055,000			1,055,000		
2016	-					
2017	-					
Thereafter	-					
TOTAL	1,055,000	-	-	1,055,000	-	-
Budget Change						
TOTAL	1,055,000	-	-	1,055,000	-	-
FISCAL RESPONSIBILITY POLICY CRITERIA:						
Will the change result in an efficient administrative and project delivery process?						Yes
Will the change result in an addition or cancellation of a capital project?						Yes
Will the underlying scope change alter the nature and type of capital project?						n/a
Where additional funding is required, are the funds from a combination of savings from fully tendered projects, other uncommitted sources such as grants and offsite levies, and cash flow management with other capital projects?						No
Will the change result in Council set debt and debt service limits being exceeded?						No
In order for this to be a Fiscal Management Policy Amendment the questions above must answer, Yes, No, No, Yes, No, respectively.						

Last updated: January 2015



Capital Budget Business Case

PROJECT NAME

Information Services Infrastructure Upgrades 2015

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Develop and implement an overall security program to protect the availability, integrity, and confidentiality of all RMWB data. This includes the development of a comprehensive IT Security Framework including policies, standards and procedures. As well, establish proactive and reactive strategies to identify risks and put controls in place to manage or reduce them. In order to achieve this, server licenses, hardware and software need to be kept up to date. Tools and resources to effectively monitor and control systems need to be put in place.

2. STRATEGIC PRIORITY ACTIONS

- Enhance and improve corporate responsibility and governance
- Build complete communities
- Demonstrate leadership in climate change adaptation and mitigation

3. ANALYSIS

3.1 Justification

Achieving compliance with information security laws, regulations, and standards. Responsibility to protect information and information assets. Ensure the proper use of information, particularly personal data.

3.2 Assumptions

Costs of Labor, Equipment, and Material will remain relatively at par with annual inflation and will not exceed 3% inter-annual.

Technical resources required will be available.

3.3 Priority Score

	Rate 1 to 5
Imminent Health or Safety	0
Legislation/Contractual Obligation	3
Link to Strategic Plan	3
Maintain Existing Assets	3
Maintain Current Service Levels	5
Increase Revenue	0
Decrease in Expenses	0
Increase Level of Existing Service	3
New Service	0
Other _____	0
	17

4. IMPLEMENTATION

Attachment 4.1

4.1 Estimated Schedules / Milestones

Project Planning*	Predesign	Design	Construction	Completion
Q4-2014	Q1-2015	Q2-2015	Q3-2015	Q4-2015

* If Project Planning includes public consultation and/or land acquisition, the timelines are included in this section.

4.2 Annual Project Capital Cash Flow Requirement

2015 Capital Cash Flow Requirements	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	2015 Total
Engineering Consulting Services					-
Consultant Fees					-
General Services - Contracted	24,500	24,500	134,500	134,500	318,000
Land					-
Equipment & Furnishing	149,250	149,250	219,250	219,250	737,000
Contingency					-
Inflation					-
Other					-
	173,750	173,750	353,750	353,750	1,055,000

2016 Capital Cash Flow Requirements	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	2016 Total
Engineering Consulting Services					-
Consultant Fees					-
General Services - Contracted					-
Land					-
Equipment & Furnishing					-
Contingency					-
Inflation					-
Other					-
	-	-	-	-	-

2017 Capital Cash Flow Requirements	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	2017 Total
Engineering Consulting Services					-
Consultant Fees					-
General Services - Contracted					-
Land					-
Equipment & Furnishing					-
Contingency					-
Inflation					-
Other					-
	-	-	-	-	-

2018 Capital Cash Flow Requirements	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	2018 Total
Engineering Consulting Services					-
Consultant Fees					-
General Services - Contracted					-
Land					-
Equipment & Furnishing					-
Contingency					-
Inflation					-
Other					-
	-	-	-	-	-

Attachment 4.1					
2019 Capital Cash Flow Requirements	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	2019 Total
Engineering Consulting Services					-
Consultant Fees					-
General Services - Contracted					-
Land					-
Equipment & Furnishing					-
Contingency					-
Inflation					-
Other					-
	-	-	-	-	-

4.3 Project Cash Flow, Capital Budget and Plan

Year	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Total Annual Cost
2014 & Prior					
2015	173,750	173,750	353,750	353,750	1,055,000
2016	-	-	-	-	-
2017	-	-	-	-	-
2018	-	-	-	-	-
2019	-	-	-	-	-
Thereafter					-
Total	173,750	173,750	353,750	353,750	1,055,000


4.4 Impact on Operating Budget

Impact on Operating Budget	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Thereafter
REVENUES						
EXPENSES						
Salaries, Wages & Benefits						
Contracted/General Services						
Materials, Goods & Utilities						
Other Expenses						
TOTAL EXPENSES	-	-	-	-	-	-
NET OPERATIONAL REQUIREMENT	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHANGE TO STAFF REQUIREMENTS (+/-)						

5. TOTAL COST OF OWNERSHIP

Year	Total Capital Costs	Total Operating Costs	Total Annual Costs
2014 & Prior	-		
2015	1,055,000	-	1,055,000
2016	-	-	-
2017	-	-	-
2018	-	-	-
2019	-	-	-
Thereafter	-	-	-
Total	1,055,000	-	1,055,000

6. APPENDICES

	REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF WOOD BUFFALO	CAPITAL BUDGET AMENDMENT				
CURRENT PROJECT NAME: Thickwood Heights/ Timberlea - Multi-Use Facility - Design AMENDED PROJECT NAME: Northside Recreation Centre - Design						
	Group I/O	Revenue I/O				
ORDER CODES (if assigned):	0452012	700379				
		Expense I/O				
		600664				
CURRENT PROJECT BUDGET						
Year	Annual Cost	Fed Grants	Prov Grants	Reserves	Other Sources	Debtenture Financed
2014 & Prior	7,000,000			7,000,000		
2015	-					
2016	-					
2017	-					
Thereafter	-					
TOTAL	7,000,000	-	-	7,000,000	-	-
CURRENT COST AND COMMITMENT						
As at	Current Budget	Actual to Date	Commitments	Available		
February 2, 2015	7,000,000	5,529,806	-	1,470,194		
DESCRIPTION/RATIONALE FOR BUDGET AMENDMENT Additional monies are required to proceed with design for Option 1 as selected by Council for the Northside Recreation Centre. These monies will allow design documents to be advanced to 75% completion and therefore avail Council with better decision making tools. The name is also being revised to reflect the current naming convention.						
AMENDED PROJECT BUDGET						
Year	Annual Cost	Fed Grants	Prov Grants	Reserves	Other Sources	Debtenture Financed
2014 & Prior	7,000,000			7,000,000		
2015	6,500,000			6,500,000		
2016	-					
2017	-					
Thereafter	-					
TOTAL	13,500,000	-	-	13,500,000	-	-
Budget Change						
TOTAL	6,500,000	-	-	6,500,000	-	-
FISCAL RESPONSIBILITY POLICY CRITERIA:						
Will the change result in an efficient administrative and project delivery process?						Yes
Will the change result in an addition or cancellation of a capital project?						No
Will the underlying scope change alter the nature and type of capital project?						No
Where additional funding is required, are the funds from a combination of savings from fully tendered projects, other uncommitted sources such as grants and offsite levies, and cash flow management with other capital projects?						Yes
Will the change result in Council set debt and debt service limits being exceeded?						No
In order for this to be a Fiscal Management Policy Amendment the questions above must answer, Yes, No, No, Yes, No, respectively.						

Last updated: January 2015